ORGANIC LETTERS

2007 Vol. 9, No. 24 4931–4934

Coupling Reaction of Alkyl Chlorides with Silyl Enolates Catalyzed by Indium Trihalide

Yoshihiro Nishimoto, Makoto Yasuda, and Akio Baba*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Center for Atomic and Molecular Technologies (CAMT), Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

baba@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received July 26, 2007

ABSTRACT

Three-component tandem reaction

Indium(III) halide catalyzed not only the coupling of alkyl chlorides with silyl enolates derived from esters, ketones, and aldehydes to give a variety of α -alkylated carbonyl compounds but also one-pot, three-component reactions of aldehyde enolate, alkyl chloride, and allylsilane or alkynylsilane.

A coupling reaction of organic halides with metal enolates is one of the most useful protocols for carbon—carbon bond formation in organic synthesis. A topic of current interest is the catalytic activation for coupling using less expensive organic chlorides. In particular, a reaction using unactivated organic chlorides remains to be a challenge. For this study, our group focused on the reaction of alkyl chlorides with silyl enolates, which are both stable and mild reagents, hence requiring activation by a Lewis acid. Although Reetz et al.^{1,2} reported the coupling of alkyl chlorides with silyl enolates catalyzed by ZnCl₂ or ZnBr₂, the applicable substrates had strict limitations. Our group has recently demonstrated the catalytic ability of indium species using moderate Lewis

First, the reaction of benzyl chloride **1a** with dimethylketene methyl trimethylsilyl acetal **2a** was investigated in the presence of various catalysts (Table 1). A 5 mol % loading of InBr₃ was found to give the coupling product **3aa** quantitatively in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature (entry 2). By comparison, InCl₃ gave a low yield (entry 3). ZnBr₂ and ZnCl₂⁴ had lower catalytic ability than InBr₃ and InCl₃, respectively (entries 4 and 5). Other typical Lewis acids such as BF₃•OEt₂, AlCl₃, and TiCl₄ were ineffective to afford the product **3aa** (entries 6–8). These results are perhaps because these catalysts might have highly oxophilic coordination with silyl enolate rather than activating the alkyl chloride. BiBr₃,

acidity to effect Freidel—Crafts alkylation.³ The present study deals the InBr₃-catalyzed coupling reaction of alkyl chlorides with aldehyde-, ketone-, and ester-derived silyl enolates.

⁽¹⁾ For review of reactions of alkyl chlorides with silyl enolates, see: Reetz, M. T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1982**, *21*, 96–108.

^{(2) (}a) Reetz, M. T.; Maier, W. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1978**, *17*, 48–49. (b) Reetz, M. T.; Schwellnus, K.; Hubner, F.; Massa, W.; Schmidt, R. E. *Chem. Ber.* **1983**, *116*, 3708–3724. (c) Reetz, M. T.; Walz, P.; Hubner, F.; Huttenhain, S. H.; Heimbach, H.; Schwellnus, K. *Chem. Ber.* **1984**, *117*, 322–325.

⁽³⁾ Onishi, Y.; Ito, T.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 3227–8235.

⁽⁴⁾ ZnCl₂ (98%) and ZnBr₂ (99.9%) were obtained from Wako Chemical Industries, Ltd., and used as received.

Table 1. Effect of Catalysts^a

entry	catalyst	yield (%)
1	none	0
2	${ m InBr}_3$	99
3	$InCl_3$	9
4	ZnBr_2	39
5	$\rm ZnCl_2$	6
6	$\mathrm{BF_3} {\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \mathrm{OEt}_2$	0
7	$AlCl_3$	0
8	TiCl_{4}	0
9	${ m BiBr}_3$	0

 $^{\it a}$ Alkyl chloride 1a (1 mmol), silyl enolate 2a (1.5 mmol), catalyst (0.05 mmol), and CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL).

reported as a moderate Lewis acid, however, showed no effect (entry 9).⁵

Second, various alkyl chlorides and silyl enolates were treated, and the results are summarized in Table 2. Unactivated aliphatic chlorides **1b** and **1c** effectively produced the coupling products 3ba and 3ca, respectively (entries 1 and 2). It is an advantage of the indium catalyst that oxygencontaining functionalities are applicable (entries 3-5). The coupling with allylic chloride 1g produced the corresponding ester 3ga in high yield (entry 6). Both benzyl chlorides, bearing an electron-withdrawing or -donating group, gave satisfactory yields (entries 7 and 8). Silyl enolates 2b and 2c, derived from ketones, reacted with 1-chloro-1-phenylethane 1j to yield the desired substituted ketones in high yields (entries 9 and 10). Interestingly, thioester and amide enolates 2d and 2e furnished the substituted thioester 3kd and amide 3ke, respectively (entries 11 and 12). This is the first example of coupling of alkyl chlorides with silyl enolates derived from thioesters and amides.

The alkyl chloride **1l** having a bromo moiety selectively provided the chloro-coupling product **3la** in 82% yield (Scheme 1). On the contrary, employment of Hiyama-coupling conditions using a palladium catalyst promoted an alternate coupling at the bromo moiety to furnish the dehydrochlorinated products **4** and **5**.6

Most studies have focused on enolates derived from esters and ketones, while a few have been done using silyl enolates derived from aldehydes, in part due to a lower nucleophilicity.^{7,8} In addition, the expected end products have a formyl moiety which reacts easily with the starting enolates and

Table 2. InBr₃-Catalyzed Reaction of Alkyl Halides with Silyl Enolates^a

entry	chloride	silyl enolate 2	time (h)	product 3	yield (%)
1	Ph Ci 1b	2a	2	3ba	83
2	CI 1c	2a	2	3ca	82
3 ^b	CI 1d	2a	2	3da	53
4 ^b Me	_{eO} C _I 1e	2a	6	3ea	75
5	O Ph EtO Cl 1f	2a	3	3fa	82
6	CI 1g	2a	1	3ga	91
7	CI 1h X	= Ci 2a	12	3ha	99
8	1i	OMe 2a	2	3ia	86
9 ^{c, d}	^	2b	2	3jb	99
10 ^c	Ph CI	2c	2	3jc	83 ^e
11 ^d	Ph 1k	2d	2	3kd	94
12 ^d	Ph Ci	2e	2	3ke	47

 a Alkyl chloride **1** (1 mmol), silyl enolate **2** (1.5 mmol), InBr₃ (0.05 mmol), and CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). b Silyl enolate **2** (3 mmol). c InCl₃ (0.05 mmol) was added instead of InBr₃. d Silyl enolate **2** (2 mmol). e Diastereomer ratio: 52:48.

leads to a complicated mixture. However, once the desired aldehyde products are effectively in hand, they make good synthetic building blocks. Our study examined various types of aldehyde enolates (Table 3). The reactions of enolate **2f** with unactivated alkyl chloride **1b** and benzylic chlorides **1a** and **1j** resulted in the corresponding aldehydes **3** (entries 1–5). Silyl enolates **2g** and **2h**, derived from butanal and acetaldehyde, were not applied to this reaction system (entries 6 and 7) because these enolates were decomposed by the indium(III) halide. Silyl enolates derived from more hindered aldehydes **2i** and **2j** gave the desired aldehydes **3ji** and **3jj**, respectively.

In our study, a tentative mechanism in which alkyl chloride was activated by InBr₃ to produce a carbocation was

4932 Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 24, 2007

⁽⁵⁾ De, S. K.; Gibbs, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8345-8350.
(6) Hama, T.; Liu, X.; Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11176-11177.

⁽⁷⁾ Mayr, H.; Kempf, B.; Ofial, A. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 66-77.

^{(8) (}a) Reetz, M. T.; Maier, W. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1978**, *17*, 48–49. (b) Sasaki, T.; Usuki, A.; Ohno, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 3559–3564. (c) Mayr, H.; Hellmann, W.; Lammers, R. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 6663–6668. (d) Bentley, T. W.; Irrgang, B.; Mayr, H.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3492–3498.

⁽⁹⁾ When $InBr_3$ and an aldehyde enolate were mixed, the formation of a complicated mixture was observed. Probably the enolate easily changed to α -silyl aldehyde under the conditions, and the enolate reacted with the formed α -silyl aldehyde.

Scheme 1. Chemoselective Reaction by Choice of Catalyst Systems

proposed (Scheme 2, type **A**). The reaction with silyl enolate gave the desired ester and Me₃SiCl with a regeneration of InBr₃. An indium(III) halide activated alkyl halide selectively and weakly interacted with silyl enolate because of its high halophilicity. ¹⁰ In contrast, a high oxophilicity was the reason the strong Lewis acids such as AlCl₃ and BF₃·OEt₂ showed no activity. The reaction may accelerate with the combined Lewis acid of InBr₃ and Me₃SiCl (type **B**) generated in situ because in the combined form it acted as a strong Lewis acid. ³ The coupling reaction was catalyzed by both systems.

Next, we envisioned a three-component reaction in which the generated aldehyde was to immediately react with

Table 3. InBr₃-Catalyzed Reaction of Alkyl Halides with Aldehyde-Derived Silyl Enolates^a

entry	R-CI		silyl enolate 2	product 3	yield (%)
1 2 ^b	Ph	1b	2f 2f	3bf 3bf	54 72
3 4 ^c	x CCC	1a X = H 1i OMe	2f e 2f	3af 3if	68 83
5 6 7 8 9 ^d	Ph CI	1 j	2f 2g 2h 2i 2j	3jf 3jg 3jh 3ji 3jj	77 0 0 83 ^e 83 ^f

^a Alkyl chloride 1 (1 mmol), silyl enolate 2 (2 mmol), InBr₃ (0.05 mmol), and CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). ^b Silyl enolate 2 (3 mmol). ^c The reaction was run at −78 °C. ^d InCl₃ (0.05 mmol) was added instead of InBr₃. ^e Diastereomer ratio: 59:41. ^f Diastereomer ratio: 65:35.

Scheme 2. Plausible Mechanism

allylsilane. This idea was based on our previous report of a Hosomi—Sakurai reaction catalyzed by the combined Lewis acid of InCl₃ and Me₃SiCl.¹¹ After the reaction of alkyl chloride **1i** with silyl enolate **2f** was complete, allylsilane **6a** was added to the resulting mixture at room temperature. This step-by-step process yielded the homoallyl alcohol **7ifa** in 76% yield (see the Supporting Information). Next, the three components **1i**, **2f**, and **6a** in the presence of InBr₃ were mixed (Scheme 3). Surprisingly, when the mixture was

CH2Cl2, -78 °C to rf

7ifb 73%

gradually warmed from -78 °C to room temperature, the desired selective tandem reactions took place prior to the coupling of **1i** and **6a**. The addition of alkynylsilane **6b** instead of **6a** gave propargyl alcohol **7ifb** in 73% yield. InBr₃ is a good catalyst that promotes both coupling and addition reactions and also controls the reaction order.

Finally, our system had another advantage with respect to solvents. Most of the previous Lewis acid-catalyzed reactions of organic chlorides with silyl enolates have been conducted in a halogenated solvent such as CH₂Cl₂, ¹² while a reaction in non-halogenated solvents is essential for green

1i

6b

Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 24, **2007**

⁽¹⁰⁾ Saito, T.; Nishimoto, Y.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8516–8522.

^{(11) (}a) Onishi, Y.; Ito, T.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 1578—1581. (b) Onishi, Y.; Ito, T.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. Tetrahedron **2002**, 58, 8227—8235. (c) Saito, T.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. Synlett **2005**, 1737—1739. (d) Saito, T.; Nishimoto, Y.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 8516—8522. (e) Babu, S. A.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. Org. Lett. **2007**, 9, 405—408.

⁽¹²⁾ See refs 10, 11, and 17.

Table 4. Reactions in Non-Halogenated Solvent^a

entry	R-CI	catalyst	product 3	yield (%)
1 2	Ph CI 1j	InBr ₃ ZnBr ₂	3ja 3ja	100 0
3	Ph CI 1a	InBr ₃	3aa	51
4		ZnBr ₂	3aa	0
5	Ph CI 1b	InBr ₃	3ba	31
6		ZnBr ₂	3ba	0

 a Alkyl chloride 1 (1 mmol), silyl enolate 2a (1.5 mmol), catalyst (0.05 mmol), hexane (1 mL).

chemistry. Our system using InBr₃ was effective in hexane solvent (Table 4). These results were inferior to those in CH₂Cl₂, but satisfactory yields were secured (entries 1, 3, and 5). Under the same conditions, ZnBr₂ was ineffective (entries 2, 4, and 6), but InBr₃-catalyzed systems removed the restrictions of the solvent.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated the coupling reactions of alkyl chlorides with silyl enolates catalyzed by InBr₃ or InCl₃. This reaction system was applied to aldehyde enolates and the three-component sequential carbon—carbon bond formation between alkyl chloride, aldehyde enolate, and other silyl nucleophiles. In addition, the coupling reaction effectively occurred in a non-halogenated solvent such as hexane. Further detailed studies are in progress.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No. 18065015, "Chemistry of Concerto Catalysis", and No. 19027038, "Synergistic Effects for Creation of Functional Molecules") and for Scientific Research (No. 19550038) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. M.Y. acknowledges financial support from Tokuyama Science Foundation, Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation Fund, and Kansai Research Foundation for Technology Promotion (KRF).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL701684N

4934 Org. Lett., Vol. 9, No. 24, 2007